

Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice - 12(2) • Spring • 1043-1048

42012 Educational Consultancy and Research Center

www.edum.com.tr/estp

Analyzing the Effect of Web-based Instruction Applications to School Culture within Technology Integration

Ünal ÇAKIROĞLU^a

Yaşar AKKAN

Bülent GÜVFN

Karadeniz Technical University

Gümüşhane University

Karadeniz Technical University

Abstract

Determining the reflections of technology integration applications that are to be performed in our schools is important to light the way of first steps of integration. In this research, the effect of a web-based instruction environment used by 31 different teachers in a high school to school culture is set forth. The school culture is analyzed within the frame of thoughts and relations of teachers and directors and the spread of these applications that are new for the school. Case study methodology has been applied in this study; the qualitative and quantitative data have been assessed together. The qualitative data of the study include the interviews with teachers, in-class observations, interviews with school directors; the quantitative data are obtained from the surveys applied on teachers. In addition to this, the records obtained from web-based instruction environment have been also used for interpreting other data. At the end of the study, positive changes have been detected over the thoughts and relations of teachers and directors. Moreover, during this research, even though there have been some exceptions, spread of web based instruction activities among teachers has taken place in parallel with the general technology diffusion theory. As a result, we may say that thanks to this research, a culture based on the changes on the teachers and directors and school, usage of technology has started to be formed. Within this direction, the directors, teachers who are the important elements of school culture for technology integration have made suggestions for the applications to be applied in school.

Key Words

Web Based Instruction, School Culture, Technology Integration.

Recently, integration of technology to education environments has been shown as one of the important reform studies in education (Black & McClintock, 1996; ISTE, 2006; Jonassen, Peck, & Wilson, 1999; Lim & Chai, 2004; Lim & Khine, 2006; Usluel, Mumcu-Kuşkaya, & Demiraslan, 2007; Wu, Hsu, & Hwang, 2008). Within this direction, the school directors and teachers have important roles in technology integration in schools (Earle,

a Ünal ÇAKIROĞLU, Ph.D., is currently an assistant professor at the Department of Computer and Instructional Technologies. His research interests include e-learning applications, social networking in education, technology integration and distance education Correspondence: Assist. Prof. Ünal CAKIROĞLU, Karadeniz Technical University, Fatih Faculty of Education, Department of Computer and Instructional Technologies, Trabzon/Turkey. E-mail: cakiroglu@ktu.edu.tr Phone: +90 462 3777113.

2002; Hew & Brush, 2007; Hsu & Sharma, 2006; Schoepp, 2005; Toprakci, 2006). It may be thought that if these roles are performed by school directors and teachers efficiently, there may be some changes also on school culture. In this research, the roles of school directors and teachers in technology integration have been handled within the frame of "Diffusion of Innovation" theory of Rogers (2003). This process is a multidimensional and difficult process which has many different dynamics such as teacher, director, student, technology (Aviram, 2000; Ertmer, 2006). The reasons of these difficulties have been showed to be lack of time of teachers by Al-Alwani (2005) and Jones (2004). Many researchers have suggested producing materials to meet the needs of teachers and encourage them to use these materials in order to remove these difficulties. In spite of many difficulties, there are also efforts that have positive results in technology integration (Becker, 2001; Ertmer; Jones; Karagiorgi & Charalambous, 2004; Lim & Hang; 2003; Schoepp, 2005; Van Den Berg, Vandenberghe, & Sleegers, 1999). One of these is the environment where teachers could design their online activities that were designed by Inbal, Dayan and Kali (2009); this research has showed that the change in technology usage is satisfactory. In order to get positive results in technology integration, some researchers have reassessed the vision of teachers and directors and suggested to arrange long term consultancy for teachers including pedagogical and technological approaches (Davis & Varma, 2008; Fishman, Marx, Blumenfeld, Krajcik, & Soloway, 2004). Besides many different applications, web based instruction applications also play an important role in technology integration studies in schools (Barker, 2002; Hannafin, Kim & Kim, 2004; Leamnson, 2001; Lee & Tsai, 2006). Many researchers working in this field have stated that web technologies could enhance learning and contribute to technology integration in schools (Jain & Getis, 2003; Mc-Cannon & Crews, 2000; Nam & Smith-Jackson, 2007; Neo, 2003; Wallace, 2004; Windschitl, 1998; Woo & Reeves, 2007). Within this scope, environments where together with web based instruction activities, students could construct the information themselves should be assessed as a big potential (Fishman et al.; Lee ve Tsai). In spite of this potential, the directors and teachers defined as technology leaders by Janson and Janson (2009) haven't still stated how the usage and spread roles of web based instruction applications in school should be (Friedman, 2006; Pyle & Dziuban, 2001; Wallace; Zhao, Pugh, Sheldon, & Byers, 2002). The behaviors and accustoms of directors and teachers inside the school are the elements shaping school culture (Akbıyık, 2010; Fishman et al.; Roschelle, Pea, Hoadley, Gordin, & Means, 2000). Thus, for the definition of school culture, Johnston (1987) focuses on the rules, believes and values that are shaped in time with the behaviors and relations of teacher and students; Deal and Peterson (1999) focus on value and belief patterns; Poore (2005) focus on the approaches, thoughts of teachers and directors to teaching and learning. Additionally, it is also stated here that the belief, values and cultural features stated here could also affect the usage of new technologies (Barron, Kemker, Harmes, & Kalaydjian, 2003; Bush, 2007; Ediger, 1997).

For this reason, the spread of web technologies which has an important role in technology integration between teachers and directors in schools may cause some changes on the school culture that is shaped with long term accumu-

lation. Starting from this thought, the effect of applying web based instruction application of different courses have been tried to be analyzed within the spread inside the school. Within this direction, the problem of research is stated as: "How the web-based applications performed inside the school affects the school culture?" Within this scope:

The effect over school culture has been analyzed within the directions of:

How did the perspectives of teachers and directors change with the new technology?

What was the change on communication between the teachers and directors with the new technology?

How was the new technology used and diffused in the school?

Method

Research Design

The research was a case study; it was performed by taking both qualitative and quantitative research techniques into consideration. The research especially focused on the spread of new technologies within the frame of technology integration inside the school. The data were collected from 31 teachers and 3 directors by using three different data collection tool as interview, observation, and survey.

Process

First of all, a learning environment where teachers could load and share the course materials, exchange messages was prepared. Later, it was presented to the usage of teachers for a six months period in a high school. During this period, the school managers supported the healthy performance of research; encouraged the teachers to use the system. The used course materials included the animation, video, picture, text, ebook, and presentations that could be operated in web environment.

Instrument

The qualitative data were obtained from the interviews with teachers, in-class observations, and interviews with school directors; the quantitative data were obtained from the surveys (n=31) applied on teachers. During the research,

it was thought that by determining the changes in the thoughts of directors, it was possible to get clues for the changes experienced in school culture. Because, it is emphasized by many researchers that directors have an important impact over the change of school culture (Stolp & Smith, 1994; Thacker & McInerney, 1992). Thus, in these researches, teachers told students could take important responsibilities for the physical structure of courses and school culture. Within this scope, the interviews conducted with the directors were semi-structured and they were applied at the start and at end of the research. The teachers were generally interviewed between course intervals, in teacher's room, canteen, and school garden. For the surveys, the surveys prepared for technology integration by Barron et al. (2003) was used. After the necessary language requirement studies, it was applied on 40 teachers in pilot application and the reliability coefficient was found 0,79.

Data Analysis

The survey applied on teachers was prepared in Likert form and the answers were assessed over the averages and standard deviations of each item. The data collected from the interviews were presented with the assessment of "critical incident" (Baki, 1994; Bliss & Ogborn, 1997) method. After analyzing the records for the analysis of interviews with the directors, the codes were determined according to concepts detected from the answers of directors (Yıldırım & Şimşek, 2000). After that, the themes that can explain these codes in a general level were applied.

Results

The findings obtained from directors, teachers and system records were presented within the direction of research problems. During the work, the directors stated that generally there was a positive development over the thoughts of teachers for the new technologies and this research performed at the school was a start for the usage of new technologies. Also, it was understood from the statements of some directors that; some teachers developed positive ideas for using internet in the scope of education. Besides, the ideas of directors for using internet in the scope of education also developed in a positive way. At the end of the research, when the findings obtained from surveys applied to

teachers, observations and system records were also analyzed together, it was seen that the more informed the teacher were about the system, the more interested they were to use the system. In some cases, the teachers stating negative ideas were tried to be convinced by a leader teacher selected by other teachers. At this point, the seminar performed during application enabled to develop positive ideas. The findings obtained from system records showed that the number of materials loaded to the system by teachers increased day by day and the number of member students on this system continued to increase. As the weeks passed by, the teachers loaded more and more materials to the system and with the increase of new student and teacher members in the system, the interest and usage of web-based materials increased as well. So, it is possible to say that the internet usage for instruction culture that is started to be formed in schools has started to be spread among teachers.

Discussion

It is claimed in many researches that the more teacher use the technology in education, the more they see the benefits concretely, the more change will occur on their believes and ideas about using it on education (Ajjan & Hartshorne, 2008; Baki, 2000; Çakıroğlu, Güven, & Akkan, 2008; Gibbone, Rukavina, & Silverman, 2010; Levine, 2006; Ngai, Poon, & Chan, 2007). At this point, the change of ideas and believes of teachers in the school may play role in forming a new culture (Wu et al., 2008). It is stated by (Hennessy, Ruthven, & Brindley, 2005; Shiue, 2007) that technology integration will have an important role in forming this culture. It is seen that during this integration process, as stated in Technology Acceptance Model of Davis (1989), "usefullness" and "easy of use" elements also have a big impact over teachers' willingness to use technology. Thus, Usluel and Demiraslan (2005) think usefullness perception as the belief to increase one's own performance by using something new. On the other hand, since some teachers used the systems no matter how low their number is, it could be said that beside many factors teachers' openness for change and innovation is also very important (Aslan, Özer, & Bakır, 2009). Therefore, Park and Ertmer, (2008) puts teachers' negative ideas as one of the most important obstacles in the way of technology integration. It is also concluded in this research that it is for sure that the school director has a very big role for creating a common school culture in schools as it has been claimed by many other researches (Deal & Peterson, 1999; Jiri, 2004; Kay & Knaack, 2005; Mulford, 2003; Stolp & Smith, 1994; Stuart, Mills, & Remus, 2009). So with the support of school director, after sharing the videos of courses in the seminar with teachers, there has been a significant increase in the number of teachers desiring to use the system and using the system. Within this scope, Özdemir (2006) states in his research that in order for technology usage in class to contribute to the education and training activities of school, there should be a common culture enabling cooperation between the school directors and teachers. Only one of the teachers complaining about the system surfed on the system; the others didn't ever feel the need to use the system. One of the reasons of this situation is as stated by Cüez (2006) and Güveli (2004), some teachers think the main target of students should be university entrance exam. It was seen that the usage of system by teachers increased week by week and this number increase started to form a technology based culture in school with the new usage forms. Therefore when we need to use integration not just a technology but also as an integration as stipulated in "Technology Integration "Ocak (2011) definition, if it is used properly and pursuant, it is also possible to state that this process starts at school. This situation is similar with the results of research of Lin, Teng, and Lin (2005) that the higher the number of teacher is, the more likely the online technologies have place in school culture. It is seen that during the research, Knowledge, Persuasion, Decision, Implementation and Confirmation phases of Rogers (2003) have been experienced by teachers who were informed of the 12 weeks research. But this experience didn't take place on the same time for each teacher. For the innovation of innovation, there hasn't been always a liner phase always. Besides teacher factor, the structure of innovation (the system used here) and many other factors stated by some researchers should be taken into account (Aşkar & Usluel, 2003; Becker & Ravitz, 1999; Capper, 2003; Ertmer, 2006). As stated by Gabelnick, MacGregor, Matthews, and Smith (1990), during the research the cooperation between teachers affected the performances of teachers in their lessons and contributed creating a positive atmosphere in the school. To sum up, with this research, it is

possible to state that there are differentiations in school culture based on the change in the thoughts of teachers and directors, the communication between them and the form of spread of innovation in school

Suggestions

It may be said that in this research where web materials are used, web based instruction environment has affected school culture with the change on teachers and directors. Considering that there are many factors in shaping school culture, effect of this change experienced cannot form a throughout change. Starting from this effect, we may say technology usage is one of the elements shaping school culture. Therefore, this research has showed that it is not easy to create change on school culture with technology integration; the schools need time for change. If leader teachers, seminars, concrete applications are consulted during this process, it could contribute acceleration of this change process. Within the light of these findings, it could be possible to make new researches and assess the process on long term in order to determine the permeability of experiences and developed thoughts of teachers and as also stated by Aşkar, Usluel, and Kuşkaya-Mumcu (2006) to determine institutionalization situation of innovation.

References/Kaynakça

Ajjan, H., & Hartshorne, R. (2008). Investigating faculty decisions to adopt web 2.0 technologies: Theory and empirical tests. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 11 (2), 71-80.

Akbıyık, C. (2010). Can affective computing lead to more effective use of ICT in education? *Revista de Educacion*, 352, 179-202.

Al-Alwani, A. (2005). Barriers to integrating information technology in Saudi Arabia Science Education. Unpublished doctoral disserattion, The University of Kansas, Kansas.

Aslan, M., Özer, N. ve Bakır, A. A. (2009). Okul kültürüne ilişkin yönetici ve öğretmen görüşleri: Nitel bir araştırma. İlköğretim Online Dergisi, 8 (1), 268-281.

Aşkar, P. ve Usluel, Y. K. (2003). Bilgisayarların benimsenme hızına ilişkin boylamsal bir çalışma: Üç okulun karşılaştırılması. *Hacettepe University Journal of Education*, 24, 15-25.

Aşkar, P., Usluel,Y. K., & Kuşkaya-Mumcu, F. (2006). Logistic regression modeling for predicting task-related ICT use in teaching. *Educational Technology and Society*, 9 (2), 141-151.

Aviram, A. (2000). From computers in the classroom to mindful radical adaptation by education systems to the emerging cyber culture. *Journal of Educational Change*, 1, 331–352.

- Baki, A. (1994). Breaking with tradition: A study of Turkish student teachers experiences within logo-based environment. Unpublished doctoral disserattion, University of London, London
- Baki, A. (2000). Preparing student teachers to use computers in mathematics classrooms through a long-term pre-service course in Turkey. *Journal of Information Technology for Teacher Education*, 9 (3), 343–462.
- Barker, P. (2002). On being an online tutor. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 39 (1), 3-13.
- Barron, A., Kemker, K., Harmes C., & Kalaydjian, K. (2003). Large-scale research study on technology in K-12 schools: Technology integration as it relates to the national technology standards. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 35 (4), 489–500.
- Becker, H. J. (2001). How are teachers using computers in instruction? Paper presented at the 2001 meeting of the American Educational Research Association. Retrieved 10 December, 2007 from http://www.crito.uci.edu/tlc/FINDINGS/special3/
- Becker, H. J., & Ravitz, J. (1999). The influence of computer and Internet use on teachers' pedagogical practices and perceptions. *Journal of Research on Computing in Education*, 31 (4), 356-384.
- Black, J., & McClintock, R. (1996). An interpretation construction approach to constructivist design. In B. Wilson (Ed.), Constructivist learning environments (pp.25-31). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Educational Technology Publications.
- Bliss, J., & Ogborn, J. (1997). Students' reaction to undergraduate science (HELP). Heinemann Educational Books, London.
- Bush, M. (2007). Technology affects the school culture. Retrieved 11 January, 2009 from http://. articledashboard.com/Article/Technology-Affects-the-School-Culture/673827
- Capper, J. (2003). Complexities and challenges of integrating technology into the curriculum. TechKnowLogia, 60-63. Retrieved 07 March, 2007 from http://www.techknowlogia.org/ TKL_Articles/PDF/471.pdf.
- Cüez, T. (2006). İlköğretim 8. sınıflarda fen bilgisi dersinde web tabanlı öğretim desteğinin öğrenci başarısına etkisi. Yayımlanmamış yüksek lisans tezi, Dokuz Eylül Üniversitesi, Eğitim Bilimleri Enstitüsü, İzmir.
- Çakıroğlu, Ü., Güven, B. ve Akkan,Y. (2008). Matematik öğretmenlerinin matematik eğitiminde bilgisayar kullanımına yönelik inançlarının incelenmesi. *Hacettepe University Journal of Education*, 35, 38-52.
- Davis, F. D. (1989) Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13, 319-339.
- Davis, E. A., & Varma, K. (2008). Supporting teachers in productive adaptation. In Y. Kali, M. C. Linn, M. Koppal & J. E. Roseman (Eds.), Designing coherent science education: Implications for curriculum,instruction, and policy (pp. 94-122). N.Y.: Teachers College Press.
- Deal, T. E., & Peterson, D. K. (1999). Shapping school culture: The heart of leadership. San Fransisco: Jossey- Bass Publishers.
- Earle, R. S. (2002). The integration of instructional technology into public education: Promises and challenges. *ET Magazine*, 42 (1), 5-13.
- Ediger, M. (1997). Improving the school culture. *Education*, 118 (1), 36-42.
- Ertmer, P. A. (2006). Teacher pedagogical beliefs: The final frontier in our quest for technology integration? *Educational Technology Research and Developmen*, 53 (4),25-39.

- Fishman, B., Marx, R., Blumenfeld, P., Krajcik, J. S., & Soloway, E. (2004). Creating a framework for research on systemic technology innovations. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 13 (1), 43-76.
- Friedman, A. (2006). K-12 teachers' use of course websites. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 14, 795-810.
- Gabelnick, F., MacGregor, J., Matthews, R., & Smith, B. L. (1990). Learning communities: Creating connections among students, faculty and disciplines. San Francisco: Jossey Bass New Directions for Teaching and Learning, 41, 19-25.
- Gibbone, A., Rukavina, P., & Silverman, S. (2010). Technology integration in secondary physical education: teachers' attitudes and practice. *Journal of Educational Technology Development* and Exchange, 3 (1), 27-42.
- Güveli, E. (2004). Lise-1 fonksiyonlar konusunun web tabanlı öğretim tasarımı uygulaması ve değerlendirilmesi. Yayımlanmamış doktora tezi, Karadeniz Teknik Üniversitesi, Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü. Trabzon.
- Hannafin, M. J., Kim, M., & Kim, J. (2004). Reconciling research, theory and practice in web-based teaching and learning. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 15 (2), 3-20.
- Hennessy, S., Ruthven, K., & Brindley, S. (2005). Teacher perspectives on integrating ICT into subject teaching: Commitment, constraints, caution and change. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 37 (2), 155-192.
- Hew, K. F., & Brush, T. (2007). Integrating technology into K-12 teaching and learning. Current knowledge gaps and recommendations for future research. *Education Technology Research & Development*, 55, 223-252
- Hsu, P. S., & Sharma, R. (2006). A systemic plan of technology integration. *Educational Technology and Society*, 9, 173-184.
- Inbal, T. S. , Dayan, J., & Kali, Y. (2009). Assimilating online technologies into school culture. *Interdisciplinary Journal of E-Learning and Learning Objects*, 5, 307-334.
- International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE). (2006). ISTE annual report. Retrieved 07 December, 2007 from http://www.iste.org/Libraries/PDFs/ISTE_Annual_Report_2006-2007.sflb.ashx.
- Jain, C., & Getis, A. (2003) The effectiveness of Internet-based instruction: an experiment in physical geography. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, 27, 153–167.
- Janson, A., & Janson R. (2009) Integrating digital learning objects into the classroom: A need for educational leadership. http://www.innovateonline.info/index.php?view=article&id=581 adresinden 20 Aralık 2010 tarihinde edinilmiştir.
- Jiri, J. (2004). ICT and Czech school culture-towards the preresearch phase of a research Project. Retrieved 03 March, 2007 from http://www.leeds.ac.uk/educol/documents/150630.htm.
- Johnston, J. H. (1987). Values, culture, and the effective school. NASSP Bulletin, 71 (497), 79-88.
- Jonassen, D. H., Peck, K. L., & Wilson, B. G. (1999). *Learning with technology: A constructivist perspective*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill/Prentice Hall
- Jones, A. (2004). A review of the research literature on barriers to the uptake of ict by teachers. *British Educational Communications and Technology Agency (Becta)*, 1, 1-29.
- Karagiorgi, Y., & Charalambous, K. (2004). Curricula considerations in ICT integration: Models and practices in Cyprus. *Journal Educational Information Technology*, 9 (1), 21-35.
- Kay, R. H., & Knaack, L. (2005). A case for ubiquitous, integrated computing in teacher education. *Technology, Pedagogy, & Education*, 14 (3), 391-412.

Leamnson, R. N. (2001). Does technology present a new way of learning? Educational Technology and Society, 4 (1), 75-79.

Lee, M., & Tsai, C. (2006). Exploring high school students' and teachers' preferences toward the constructivist Internet-based learning environments in Taiwan. *Educational Studies*, 31 (2), 149-167.

Levine, T. (2006). Teachers' beliefs and practices in technology-based classrooms: A development view. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 39 (2), 157–181.

Lim, C. P., & Chai, C. S. (2004). An activity-theoretical approach to research of ICT integration in Singapore schools: Orienting activities and learner autonomy. *Computers and Education*, 43 (3), 215–236.

Lim, C. P., & Hang, D. (2003). An activity theory approach to research of ICT integration in Singapore schools. *Computers & Education*, 41 (1), 49-63.

Lim, C. P., & Khine, M. S. (2006). Managing teachers' barriers to ICT integration in Singapore schools. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 14 (1), 97–125.

Lin, S. R., Teng, H. T. & Lin, H. C. (2005). Case study of school culture in responding to information age. In P. Kommers & G. Richards (Ed.), Proceedings of world conference on educational multimedia, hypermedia and telecommunications 2005 (pp. 2275-2281). Chesapeake, VA: AACE.

McCannon, M., & Crews, T. B. (2000). Assessing the technology training needs of elementary school teachers. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 8 (2), 111-21.

Mulford, B. (2003). School leaders: Changing roles and impact on teacher and school effectiveness. Retrieved 12 December, 2006 from www.oecd.org/dataoecd/61/61/2635399.pdf

Nam, C., & Smith-Jackson, T. (2007). Web-based learning environment: A theory-based design process for development and evaluation. *Journal of Information Technology Education*, 6, 23-43.

Neo, M. (2003). Developing a collaborative learning environment using a web-based design. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 19 (4), 462-473.

Ngai, E. W. T., Poon, J. K. L., & Chan, Y. H. C. (2007). Empirical examination of the adoption of WebCT using TAM. *Computers and Education*, 48 (2), 250–267.

Ocak, M. A. (2011). *Eğitim teknoloji entegrasyonu*. Pegem Akademi Yayıncılık, Ankara.

Özdemir, A. (2006). Okul kültürünün oluşturulması ve çevreye tanıtılmasında okul müdürlerinden beklenen ve onlarda gözlenen davranışlar. *Türk Eğitim Bilimleri Dergisi*, 4 (4), 411-436.

Park, S. H., & Ertmer, P. A. (2008). Impact of problem-based learning on teachers' beliefs regarding technology. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 40, 247.

Poore, P. (2005). School culture: The space between the bars; The silence between the notes, *Journal of Research in International Education*, 4 (3), 351-361.

Pyle, R. C., & Dziuban, C. D. (2001). Technology: Servant or master of the online teacher? *Library Trends*, 50 (1), 130-144.

Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). New York: Free Press.

Roschelle, J., Pea, R., Hoadley, C., Gordin, D., & Means, B. (2000). Changing how and what children learn in school with collaborative cognitive technologies. *The Future of Children*, 10 (2), 76-101.

Schoepp, K. (2005). Barriers to technology integration in a technology-rich environment. Learning and Teaching in Higher Education: Gulf Perspectives, 2 (1), 1 - 24.

Shiue, Y. M. (2007). Investigating the sources of teachers' instructional technology use through the decomposed theory of planned behavior. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 36 (4), 425–453.

Stolp, S., & Smith, S. C. (1994). School culture and climate: The role of the leader. OSSC Bulletin (p. 54). Eugene: Oregon School Study Council.

Stuart, H. L., Mills, A. M., & Remus, U. (2009). School leaders, ICT competence and championing innovations. *Computers & Education*, 53, 733–741.

Thacker, J. L., & McInerney, W. D. (1992) Changing academic culture to improve student achievement in the elementary schools. *ERS Spectrum*, 10 (4), 18-23.

Toprakci, E. (2006). Obstacles at integration of schools into information and communication technologies by taking into consideration the opinions of the teachers and principles of primary and secondary schools in Turkey. Journal of Instractional Science and Technology (e-JIST), 9 (1), 1-16.

Usluel, Y., Mumcu-Kuşkaya, F. ve Demiraslan, Y. (2007). Öğrenme-öğretme sürecinde bilgi ve iletişim teknolojileri: Öğretmenlerin entegrasyon süreci ve engelleriyle ilgili görüşleri. Hacettepe University Journal of Education, 32, 164-179.

Usluel, Y. ve Demiraslan, Y. (2005). Bilgi ve iletişim teknolojilerinin öğrenme-öğretme sürecine entegrasyonunu incelemede kuramsal bir çerçeve: Etkinlik kuramı. Hacettepe University Journal of Education, 2 (8), 134-142.

Van Den Berg, R., Vandenberghe, R., & Sleegers, P. (1999). Management of innovations from a culturelindividual perspective. School Effectiveness and School Improvement, 10 (3), 321-351.

Wallace, R. (2004). A framework for understanding teaching with the internet. *American Educational Research Journal*, 41 (2), 447-488.

Windschitl, M. (1998). The WWW and classroom research: What path should we take? *Educational Researcher*, 27 (1), 28-

Woo, Y., & Reeves, T. C. (2007). Meaningful interaction in webbased learning: A social constructivist interpretation, *Internet* and Higher Education, 10, 15–25.

Wu, H. K., Hsu, Y.S., & Hwang, F. K. (2008). Factors affecting teachers' adoption of techonology in classrooms: Does school-size matter? *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 6 (1),63-85.

Yıldırım, A. ve Şimşek, H. (2000). Sosyal bilimlerde nitel araştırma yöntemleri (2. bs). Ankara: Seçkin Yayıncılık.

Zhao, Y., Pugh, K., Sheldon, S., & Byers, J. L. (2002). Conditions for classroom technology innovations. *Teachers College Record*, 104 (3), 482–485.

